

Comment on “Non-thermalizability of a Quantum Field Theory”

S. Deser^(a), G. Dunne^(b), L. Griguolo^(c), K. Lee^(d), C. Lu^(d) and D. Seminara^(a)

^(a) *Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254*

^(b) *Department of Physics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269*

^(c) *Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139*

^(d) *Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027*

Abstract

We point out that the claims made in the paper “Non-thermalizability of a Quantum Field Theory” (hep-th/9802008) by C. R. Hagen are irrelevant to our recent results concerning large gauge invariance of the effective action in thermal QED.

There has been much recent interest in finite temperature Chern-Simons theories [1–7], focussing on an inherent incompatibility between large gauge invariance and conventional finite temperature perturbation theory. For example, thermal perturbation theory leads to induced Chern-Simons terms with temperature dependent coefficients, a result that, on the face of it, seems to imply a violation of large gauge invariance. However, as first shown in [1] in the context of an exactly solvable $0 + 1$ dimensional Chern-Simons model, the finite temperature effective action contains new induced terms, other than the Chern-Simons term, each of which is temperature dependent. When these are resummed, the full effective action satisfies large gauge invariance, despite the fact that large gauge invariance is violated at any finite order in perturbation theory. An elegant way to understand this incompatibility between large gauge invariance and finite temperature perturbation theory is through zeta function regularization [2]. These results may be extended to $2 + 1$ dimensional thermal QED in the presence of particular background gauge fields which support large gauge

transformations [2–5].

The recent paper of Hagen [8] attempts a full solution of the $0+1$ charged fermion-Chern Simons model, and then claims that the aforementioned results for the $0+1$ dimensional model of [1] (and implicitly therefore also for [2–5]) are incorrect. However, this disagreement is due to a simple but fundamental error of interpretation. Whatever the validity of the calculation in [8], it is totally irrelevant to the subject of [1–6], namely the properties of the effective gauge field action obtained by integrating out the charged sources: by the very definition of effective actions, the gauge field there is of course NOT to be evaluated on any a priori mass shell!

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Dunne, K. Lee, C. Lu, “Finite temperature Chern-Simons Coefficient”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 3434.
- [2] S. Deser, L. Griguolo and D. Seminara, “Gauge Invariance, Finite Temperature and Parity Anomaly in D=3”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 1976; “Effective QED Actions: Representations, Gauge Invariance, Anomalies and Mass Expansions” (hep-th/9712066); “Definition of Chern-Simons Terms in Thermal QED3 Revisited” (hep-th/9712132).
- [3] C. Fosco, G. Rossini and F. Schaposnik, “Induced Parity Breaking Term at Finite Temperature”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 1980, Erratum - *ibid.* **79** (1997) 4296; “Abelian and Nonabelian Induced Parity Breaking Terms at Finite Temperature”, *Phys. Rev. D* **56** (1997) 6547.
- [4] I. Aitchison and C. Fosco, “Gauge Invariance and Effective Actions in D=3 at Finite Temperature”, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 1171.
- [5] R. González-Felipe, “On the Chern-Simons Topological Term at Finite Temperature” (hep-th/9709079).
- [6] J. McCarthy and A. Wilkins, “Induced Chern-Simons Terms” (hep-th/9710088).
- [7] R. Jackiw, S.-Y. Pi, “Reducing the Chern-Simons term by a symmetry” (hep-th/9712087).
- [8] C. R. Hagen, “Non-thermalizability of a Quantum Field Theory”, hep-th/9802008.